

REMARKS

Claims 2, 6, 10, 42, 50 are pending in the application, and have been amended hereby. Claims 14, 18, 22, 26, 30, 34, 38, 46, and 54 have been cancelled, without prejudice or disclaimer. Claims 2, 10, 42, and 50 are in independent form. Claims 59-70 have been added. Favorable reconsideration is requested.

Claims 14, 18, 22, 26, 30, 34, 38, 46, and 54 have been cancelled, thereby rendering the rejection thereof moot, and will not be mentioned further.

Reconsideration is respectfully requested of the rejection of Claim 42 under 35 U.S.C. §101, as being directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim 42 has been amended in part to recite “A program product embodied on a computer readable medium . . .”

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that amended Claim 42 is directed to statutory subject matter, and meets all requirements of 35 U.S.C. §101.

Reconsideration is respectfully requested of the rejection of Claims 14, 18, 22, 26, 30, 34, 38, 46, and 54 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), as being obvious over U.S. Patent No. 7,269,839 (“Nagishi”) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,735,253 (“Chang”) and U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0086692 (“Hori”).

It is respectfully submitted that Nagishi, Chang, and Hori, alone or in any possible combination, fail to teach or suggest “generating a data stream by combining the moving image data for normal reproduction and the identification information to generate a data packet, arranging the data packet preferentially, and arranging the moving image data for special

reproduction in places where there are no data packets,” as recited in amended independent Claims 2, 10, 42, and 50.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that amended independent Claims 2, 10, 42, and 50, and the claims depending therefrom, are patentably distinct over the cited references, alone or in any possible combination.

Further, regarding new Claims 59, 65, 67, and 69, it is respectfully submitted that Nagishi, Chang, and Hori, alone or in any possible combination, fail to teach or suggest “displaying the partial area where the moving image data for special reproduction are present, in a form recognizable by a user.”

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that new independent Claims 59, 65, 67, and 69, and the claims depending therefrom, are patentably distinct over the cited references, alone or in any possible combination.

Furthermore, regarding new Claims 61, 66, 68, and 70, it is respectfully submitted that Nagishi, Chang, and Hori, alone or in any possible combination, fail to teach or suggest “displaying the predetermined section where the moving image data for special reproduction are present, in a form recognizable by a user.”

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that new independent Claims 61, 66, 68, and 70, and the claims depending therefrom, are patentably distinct over the cited references, alone or in any possible combination.

In view of the amendments and remarks set forth above, this application is believed to be in condition for allowance which action is respectfully requested. However, if for any reason the Examiner should consider this application not to be in condition for allowance, the Examiner is

respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned attorney at the number listed below prior to issuing a further Action.

Any fee due with this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-1290.

Favorable reconsideration is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

/Pedro C. Fernandez/
Pedro C. Fernandez
Reg. No. 41,741

CUSTOMER NUMBER 026304

Telephone: (212) 940-6311

Fax: (212) 940-8986

Docket No.: SCEP 20.778 (100809-00229)

PCF:fd